2 nd European Sustainable Phosphorus Conference 5-6th March, 2015 Berlin

# **Polish Phosphorus Index - characterization of** conceptual model's crucial components

Beata Jurga, dr Tamara Jadczyszyn, dr Kazimierz Kęsik bjurga@iung.pulawy.pl

#### Characterization of Polish agricultural conditions **Phosphorus management**



- $\checkmark$  41% of the soils show high and very high P content and 33% of soils - low and very low (Kopiński et al., 2013)
- In the 2011/2012 a 24.6 kg P per hectare of arable land were used (CSO 2013)

✓ P-balance for the period 2004-2006 showed a diffusion of P from agriculture up to 92 thousand tons of P, with direct losses to water - 23.5 thousand tons of P (Fotyma and Igras, 2009).



agricultural land covers 60.3% meadows and pastures - 12.5%



arable land – 45.5%

#### Background

- Resource scarcity vs peak of population growth 1.
- Economic impact of lost P for farmers 2.
- 3. Environmental impact



### What is done so far?

Water, soil (Mehlich 3) sediments sample collection



**Depletion issues** 4.

## **Phosphorus Index P-Index**

- qualitative predictor of field scale vulnerability to P loss in surface runoff or subsurface flow
- based on available input data & uses real farm structure
- made in US by Gburek and Gilbert (1993) many  $\checkmark$ modification in the US and Europe
- designed to identify specific areas within the catchment  $\checkmark$ that contribute most to P loss "critical source areas"
- provides site-specific (flexible!) management options to minimize P emission

#### **1. Screening part**



+ flow velocity measurements

based on average monthly P conc. annual TP emission will be calculated

point source emission is evaluated & includes: sewage plantsindividual householdsillegal discharges

> **P-Index** 7 will be calculated for subcatchments and for Bystra catchment

#### Challenges



- Lack of fertilization plans 1.
- Soil sample analysis is yet not obligatory 2.
- Numbers of farms 3.
- Deficit of farmers need for pro-environmental actions 4.
- Country altitude differentiation many erosion schemes
- Different buffer zones width 6.
  - 5 m for fertilizers near "smaller" waterbodies
  - 20 m for fertilizers near "larger" waterbodies
  - 10 m for slurry application